

Perspectives on Engineered Catalyst Design and Forming

Bruce Adkins – Oak Ridge National Laboratory Frederick Baddour – National Renewable Energy Laboratory Matthew Greaney – Clariant June 14, 2023



Office of ENERGY EFFICIENCY & RENEWABLE ENERGY

BIOENERGY TECHNOLOGIES OFFICE





Defining the Catalyst



An FCC Case Study



Considerations for Technology Selection & Modeling



An Industrial Perspective



Engineered Catalyst Development in ChemCatBio

Revision The Engineered Catalyst



An engineered catalyst is a multicomponent catalyst formulation that possesses additives and structural elements required for operation in a commercial reactor

- Physical: mass/heat transfer
- Chemical: functionality
- Mechanical: strength, attrition resistance
- Simplified requirements for commercialization upon successful identification of promising research catalyst candidates
 - Translation of synthesis methods from bench-scale to multi-ton manufacture
 - Identification of appropriate formulation (left)
 - Powder shaping to macroscopic forms

ChemCatBio

Catalytic Cracking: The Modern FCC (up to 200 kbpd)



Bioenergy Technologies Office |

A Technology Race



Co-development of process and catalyst

FCC Catalyst Market (~\$3 B) Requires Large Spraydryers



Here FCC Catalyst: A Complex Design Challenge



B. Adkins, S. Garner, *"Atomizer Wheel with Improved Nozzle for Rotary Atomizers and Method of Obtaining Microspherical Solid Particles"*, US Patent 6,631,851, Oct 2003

Non-spherical particles WILL BECOME SPHERICAL in the unit: FINES LOSSES, STACK OPACITY, etc

New FCC catalysts can require lots of spray drying R&D to achieve physical property targets at commercial scale



Bioenergy Technologies Office

FCC Catalyst Architecture: Heavily Researched



Inside a polyimide tube, which is the circle around the image. The E-cat catalyst particles have a range of shapes and sizes, and some are hollow. Some of them are indicated by the red circles in the image; (b and c) 2D transmission X-ray microscopy images of sections of two E-cat particles showing different sizes of internal voids, which are the dark colored regions in the image; and (d) equivalent diameter of internal voids in the set of E-cat catalyst particles as investigated with X-ray microtomography. (Reproduced with permission from ref. 172, Copyright Wiley-VCH, 2014).



Artwork by RSK Communication.



Fig. 23 X-ray nanotomography study of an E-cat catalyst particle, revealing the relative spatial distributions of Ni and Fe and their effect on the macropore structure and accessibility. A sub-volume of $16.6 \times 16.6 \times 10 \,\mu m^3$ was selected (b) out of the entire catalyst particle of $44.8 \times 52.7 \times 51.2 \,\mu m^3$ in size (a), including the relative Fe and Ni distributions. Permeability calculation was applied on this sub-volume (c). Mass transport through the sub-volume along the selected axis (red arrow) is visualized using the velocity field of the fluid. The streamlines indicate the magnitude of the velocity field where red represents the highest velocity (*i.e.*, where the pore space constriction is the largest) and blue indicates the lowest velocity. (Reproduced with permission from ref. 170, Copyright American Chemical Society, 2015).

Vogt and Weckhuysen, "Fluid catalytic cracking: recent developments on the grand old lady of zeolite catalysis", Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 7342

Bioenergy Technologies Office |

Important Considerations for Technology Selection

- Fluid-solid hydrodynamics
 - Set by reactor type (fixed bed, moving bed, fluid bed, riser)
- Intrapellet mass transfer constraints
 - A relative term! Fast vs slow reactions
 - Ratio of diffusion & reaction rates and the *Effectiveness Factor*
 - Fast example: cracking at high temperature \rightarrow FCC catalyst @ SCT
 - Slow example: resid HDS, WHSV ~ 0.5
- Deactivation rate and regeneration requirements
 - Is the reaction endothermic and powered by coke burn, like FCC?
- Thermal considerations
 - Heat integration
 - Intrapellet heat transfer for highly endothermic/exothermic reactions
 - Frequency and severity of coke oxidation regenerations
 - Fast/large temperature swings (like FCC process)

\swarrow Fluid-Solid Hydrodynamics: Δ P and U/U_{mf}



\nearrow Effectiveness Factor η



ChemCatBio

Coupling Computational Modeling and Experimental Design

Consortium for Computational Physics and Chemistry



Computational Modeling Scales Renewable Chemical Process 1,000x in a Single Step

DECEMBER 9, 2022

CCPC DFO Program 2021-2022 (Direct Funding Opportunity)

Bioenergy Technologies Office » Computational Modeling Scales Renewable Chemical Process 1,000x in a Single Step



Author: Tim Theiss, Laboratory Relationship Manager, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Read Tim's bio Meet the other bloggers Return to Bioprose blog



Scientists from ORNL, Pyran, and RPD Technologies pose in front of the pilot-scale reactor testbed. Photo courtesy of ORNL.

Packed bed deployment

Pyran: 1,5 PDO from furfural Catalyxx: higher alcohols from EtOH (Guerbet)

Office of ENERGY EFFICIENCY & RENEWABLE ENERGY

Webinar: Cost-Effectively Optimize and Scale Bioenergy Technologies with the Consortium for Computational Physics and Chemistry (CCPC)

Presenters:

- Dr. Jim Parks: CCPC Principal Investigator and Section Head for Energy and Industrial Decarbonization at Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- Dr. Jim Dooley: Chief Technology Officer, Forest Concepts, LLC
- Dr. Kevin Barnett: Chief Technology Officer, Pyran
- Joaquín Alarcón: President and Chief Executive Officer, Catalyxx, Inc.



in

D

Integrated Computational/Experimental Approach



 \otimes

Characterization of a modular Temkin reactor with experiments and computational fluid dynamics simulations

Gregor D. Wehinger^{a,*}, Bjarne Kreitz^a, Anton Nagy^b, Thomas Turek^a



A small, well-mixed reactor for high throughput study of commercial catalyst pills

Edward M. Calverley^{a,*}, Edward L. Lee^b, De-Wei Yin^a, Thomas J. Parsons^c



Fig. 5. Schematic of the CSTR for whole catalyst pill

Chemical Engineering Science 151 (2016) 130-138

Heat transfer characteristics of mixed convection in packed beds

Yuelong Qu ^{a,b}, Liang Wang ^{a,b,c}, Xipeng Lin ^{a,c}, Haoshu Ling ^{a,c}, Yakai Bai ^{a,c}, Shuang Zhang ^{a,c}, Haisheng Chen ^{a,b,c,*}





Engineered Catalysts: Considering Shape, Size, and Processing



ChemCatBio

Considering Catalyst Form Factors

1. Reactor scale

- Catalyst loading: need for efficient packing, mechanical strength to prevent attrition
- Pressure drop: need for uniform flow, avoidance of "hot spots", reduced energy consumption
- Thermal profile: endo vs exo thermic processes, heat management, thermal conductivity

2. Reactor type

• Fixed bed, fluidized bed, moving bed: can dictate shape, size, & mechanical property needs

3. Phases of matter present

- Single or multiphase systems: feed, intermediates, products
- Traditionally, gas phase is most common, but not necessarily for newer trends & technologies
- 4. Practicality & existing deployed capital assets
 - Economic viability or production
 - Scale & throughput



Clariant Catalysts: Covering a Wide Range of Applications



CHEMICALS

- Ammonia
- Methanol
- Sour Gas Shift
- Synthetic natural gas
- GTL/Fischer-Tropsch
- Fuel cells
- Oxidation
- Hydrogenation
- Bio-based feedstocks
- Fine chemicals



PETROCHEMICALS

- Steam crackers
- Olefin purification
- Ethylene derivatives
- Styrene & BTX
- On-purpose propylene
- Polypropylene



REFINERY / FUELS

- Gasoline isomerization
- Gasoline from olefins
- Hydrogen plants
- Diesel from olefins
- Diesel dewaxing
- Fuels upgrading
- Fuels from alternative feedstocks



EMISSION CONTROL

- Industrial off-gas treatment
- Exhaust gas treatment for stationary engines
- Zeolite powders for diesel exhaust applications

CUSTOM CATALYSTS tailor-made for specified applications



Bioenergy Technologies Office | 18

ChemCatBio

Clariant Catalysts: Covering a Wide Range of Applications



Dehydrogenation

Emission Control, Oxidation & Zeolites



Ethylene & Derivatives



Styrene & MTP



Fuels



Hydrogenation & Custom Catalysts



Polypropylene



SynChem



Examples: De-NO_x SCR Using a Wash-Coated Monolith



• Fixed bed

- High WHSV
- No recycle
- Honeycomb is extruded & calcined
- > Active metals are wash-coated



Examples: Vanadium Phosphates for Maleic Anhydride



SYNDANE" LA

Available in different ring sizes - SynDane 3102 LA and SynDane 3122 LA

SYNDANE* 3142 LA New SynDane shape

reduces pressure drop over the catalyst bed

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

SYNDANE® 3102 LA

Small catalyst rings for short reactor tubes

SYNDANE® 3122 LA

Bigger catalyst rings suitable for wide range of process conditions

SYNDANE[®] 3142 LA

Proprietary catalyst shape for long reactor tubes with low pressure drop

COMPOSITION SYNDANE* LA SERIES

- · Vanadium phosphorus mixed oxide
- Vanadium content > 27 wt %
- Pre-activated
- · Specific promoters to decrease by-product formation
- Thermal stability up to approx. 500°C

- Fixed bed
- Thousands of small reactor tubes
- Sensitive to WHSV
- Critical need for thermal management
- Shaped catalyst is extruded & calcined
- Specific shape is dictated by reactor



ChemCatBio

Examples: CrO_x/Al_2O_3 Dehydrogenation Catalyst





- Fixed bed
- Large single train reactors
- >10,000 tons produced/yr
- >4 year catalyst operating life
- Al₂O₃ carrier is produced by multi-step process
 - Forming, extruding calcining & steaming are critical
 - Texture required
- Active components impregnated, but carrier physical properties are key to long-term stability & performance



CCB: Approach to Engineered Catalyst Development

The Challenge: A technical catalyst must faithfully *reproduce the performance* of laboratory preparations and possess the required physical properties *for large scale operation*

Developing a technical catalyst from benchtop candidates requires *at a minimum*:

- Gram-to-kilo protocol adaptation
- Determination of *multi-component formulation*
- Shaping powders into reactor specific macroscopic forms



Translation of promising research catalysts to viable technical bodies is a non-trivial research challenge

CCB: Building an Engineered Catalyst Capability

Establish Industrial Advisory Board

Identify Catalyst Targets

Phyisco-Chemical Requirements

Industrial Expert Input and Review

Equipment Selection

Assessment of Best Practices

Methods Development & Review

Near-term targets identified within Conversion Pt/TiO₂ (Catalytic Upgrading of Pyrolysis Products) Cu-HBEA (C1 Building Blocks)

- Process and reactor configuration dictate form and required performance characteristics
- Forming technologies reviewed with advisory board to ensure industrial relevance, feasibility, and equipment requirements
- Academic and patent literature surveyed for best practices
- Develop processing methods with industrial guidance
- Produce baseline technical catalyst at targeted scale

Produce 1st–Gen. Eng. Catalyst

CCB: Staged Approach to Technology Development



De-risks conversion technologies

- Enables projects to assess
 performance at increasing scales
- Go/No-Go decision points ensure performance targets are met at each scale
- Provides a *baseline engineered catalyst* to accelerate commercialization when licensed to technology provider

Equipment Selection



Equipment Selection

Commissioned 1–10 kg scale catalyst manufacturing equipment

Dedicated in-house equipment for inert processing, thermal treatment, precipitation, physical forming Ability to optimize translation from research catalyst to engineered catalyst Transferable knowledge for more rapid and simplified contract manufacturing at relevant scales High shear / Orbital Mixers



Bucket/Cement Mixers



1" Screw Extruder



Rotary and Muffle Furnaces



Pipeline for Emerging Methodologies

Flame-spray pyrolysis (FSP) for tunable Pt speciation



CCB Summary

Developed <u>a flexible, engineering-scale catalyst synthesis capability</u> to produce **scalable and cost effective** next-generation biomass conversion catalysts and mitigate commercialization risk by **enabling large-scale performance evaluation**

- An industry guided engineering-scale catalyst synthesis capability can significantly reduce the economic investment and time required to verify large-scale performance
- **Responsive engineering-scale catalyst design** enables the **fundamental evaluation** of technical catalyst properties and performance
- *Emerging scale-up methodologies* provide an opportunity for *scalable performance enhancement* over traditional methods



This research was supported by the DOE Bioenergy Technology Office under Contract no. DE-AC36-08-G028308 with the National Renewable Energy Laboratory

This work was performed in collaboration with the Chemical Catalysis for Bioenergy Consortium (ChemCatBio, CCB), a member of the Energy Materials Network (EMN)



Office of ENERGY EFFICIENCY & RENEWABLE ENERGY

BIOENERGY TECHNOLOGIES OFFICE

Thank You

chemcatbio.org

